IN THE SUPERIOR COURT FOR THE STATE OF ALASKA THIRD JUDICIAL DISTRICT AT ANCHORAGE

	MICHAEL and BLANCA ELLIS,)	
	Plaintiffs, v.)))	
	HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY)	
	Defendant.)	10462
-)	Case No. 3AN-16- CI

COMPLAINT

COME NOW MICHAEL and BLANCA ELLIS, by and through their attoneys Ronald A. Offret of AGLIETTI OFFRET & WOOFTER, and hereby states, claims, pleads, and alleges as their cause of action against defendant HHC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, the following;

- 1. Plaintiffs Michael and Blanca Ellis are and were residents of the State of Alaska, at all times pertinent hereto.
- 2. Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY is an insurance company, licensed to do business in Alaska and at all times pertinent hereto was doing business in Alaska by selling/providing medical insurance policies to the residents of the State of Alaska.
- 3. On May 6, 2015 Plaintiff Blanca Ellis purchased a 6 month short term medical insurance plan issued by Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, effective May 7, 2015.
- 4. On May 27, 2015 Plaintiff Blanca Ellis was diagnosed with Lupus. This was the first ever diagnosis of Lupus or mention of Lupus applicable to Plaintiff Ellis.
- 5. Plaintiff Blanca Ellis was treated thereafter for Lupus, including hospitalization. The costs of treatment were submitted to Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY for payment. Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY refused and continues to refuse, to pay for the treatment being provided to Plaintiff Ellis and attempts to justify it's refusal by calling this a "pre-existing" condition.
- 6. Plaintiff Blanc Ellis has been treated for this condition and other related medical needs since May 27, 2015 and has submitted such costs to Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE

Exhibit A, Page 1 of 4

Case 3:17-cv-00001-TMB Document 1-1 Filed 01/03/17 Page 1 of 4

Aglietti, Offret & Woofter 33 W 4th Avenue Suite 206 nchorage, Alaska 99501

007) 279-8657 AX 279-5534

Michael and Blanca Ellis v. HCC Life Insurance Company Complaint

COMPANY who continues to wrongfully deny coverage, relying on a term of exclusion in the policy entitled "pre-existing" condition.

- 7. Plaintiff Blanca Ellis has suffered damages for non-payment of her medical treatment in an amount in excess of the jurisdictional requirements of this Court.
- 8. The failure of Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY to pay for treatment of this condition has delayed treatment and caused Plaintiff Blanca Ellis to suffer enhanced physical and emotional damages for the time she went untreated.
- 9. Plaintiff Michael Ellis has suffered physical, mental and emotional damages in having to assist his wife in dealing with Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY, in helping his wife obtain treatment for her condition, and watching her suffer as a result of none or delayed treatment causing her condition to worsen, and losing her companionship caused by this untreated condition.

BREACH OF CONTRACT

- 10. Plaintiffs re-alleges paragraphs 1-9 as if here fully set forth.
- 11. Plaintiffs Ellis entered into the medical insurance contract with Defendant HCC LIFE INSURANCE COMPANY for the purpose of obtaining financial coverage for medical treatment and conditions.
- 12. There existed a bargained for contractual benefit which Plaintiffs had reason to expect would be observed by Defendant in good faith.
- 13. Defendant's refusal to pay for Plaintiff Blanca Ellis medical treatment relating to Lupus, by wrongfully claiming it was a "pre-existing" condition, is a breach of contract, motivated by an improper or impermissible objective and was made in bad faith.
- 14. Expectation of benefits from the medical contract were relied upon by Plaintiff Blanca Ellis when she entered into this contract with Defendant
- 15. Defendant's bad-faith breach of contract, was coupled with the wrongful intent to deprive Plaintiff of the benefits of the agreement.
- 16. There existed a bargained for contractual benefit which Plaintiff had reason to expect would be observed by Defendant in good faith.

BREACH OF THE IMPLIED COVENANT OF GOOD FAITH AND FAIR DEALING

17. Plaintiff re-alleges paragraphs 1-16 above as if here fully set forth.

Aglietti, Offret & Woofter 33 W 4th Avenue Suite 206 Inchorage, Alaska 99501

18. Defendant's wrongful breach of contract with Plaintiff violated the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing found in every insurance contract in Alaska.

LOSS OF CONSORTIUM

- 19. Plaintiffs re-allege paragraphs 1- 18 above as if here fully set forth.
- 20. As a result of Defendants breach of contract and breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, by its wrongful refusal to pay for medical benefits under the insurance contract for his wife, Plaintiff Michael Ellis, suffered physical, mental and emotional damage.
- 21. The damage to Plaintiff Michael Ellis is unknown at the moment but in an amount to be proven at trial.

PUNITIVE DAMAGES

- 22. Plaintiffs re-alleges paragraphs 1-21 above as if here fully set forth.
- 23. Plaintiffs are entitled to an award of punitive damages for the wrongful, reckless, willful and outrageous conduct by Defendant, for which punitive damages are warranted in an amount sufficient to punish the Defendant and deter it and/or others from such conduct in the future, in an amount to be proven at trial.

WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs Michael and Blanca Ellis pray for judgment against Defendant:

- 1. For Defendant's wrongful breach of contract;
- 2. For Defendant's breach of the implied covenant of good faith and fair dealing;
- For damages to Plaintiff Michael Ellis for loss of consortium;
- All of the above in an amount to be determined at trial and in excess of the jurisdictional levels of this court; and
- 5. For Punitive Damages in an amount to be proven at trial; and
- 6. For all costs, prejudgment interest, and attorneys fees caused by this action.

Dated at Anchorage, Alaska this 5 day of December, 2016.

AGLIETTI OFFRET and WOOFTER
Attorneys for Plaintiffs Michael and Blanca Ellis

by Ronald A. Offret ABA #7410090

& Woofter '33 W 4th Avenue Suite 206 Anchorage, Alaska 99501

Aglietti, Offret

907) 279-8657 FAX 279-5534

CASE DESCRIPTION - SUPERIOR COURT

Case Number:_

Type of Action Check the box that best describes the case Mark and here.	For Court Use	Only
Check the box that best describes the case. Mark one box only. For district court cases, use form CIV-125D.	Case Type	Actio Cod
Tort		Cou
Wrongful Death	Civil Superior Court	CISPID
Automobile Tort (But Not Wrongful Death)	Civil Superior Court	CISIDA
Claim Against Owner of Real Property for Personal Injury	Civil Superior Court	CISPIO
Product Liability	Civil Superior Court	CISPL
Intentional Tort (e.g., assault, battery, vandalism)	Civil Superior Court	CISIT
Slander/Libel/Defamation	Civil Superior Court	CISSLD
Other Tort	Civil Superior Court	CISIDO
Approval of Minor Settlement – Civil Petition		CISIDO
May also be filed as probate case.	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISPET
Malpractice		
Legal Malpractice	Civil Superior Court	CISLMP
Medical Malpractice	Civil Superior Court	CISMMP
Other Malpractice	Civil Superior Court	CISOMP
oreign Judgment	, some source	CISOMP
Registration of Foreign Judgment – SEE DOMESTIC RELATIONS FOR FOREIGN SUPPORT/CUSTODY ORDERS	Foreign Judgment Superior C	CISFOJ
ther Civil		2000
Election Contest or Recount Appeal	Civil Superior Court	CISELE
Change of Name - Adult	Change of Name	
Change of Name - Minor	Change of Name	CICON
Confession of Judgment	Civil Superior Court	CICONM
Structured Settlement – AS 09.60.200	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISCCON
Administrative Agency Proceeding – Request for Court Assistance	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISSS
Arbitration - Action Under Uniform Arbitration Act	Civil Superior Court	CISWRNT
Fraud	Civil Superior Court	CISAP
Unfair Trade Practice and Consumer Protection	Civil Superior Court	CISFRAUD
1 CHANGE TO A STATE OF THE STAT	Clerk: Issue form CIV-128	CISUTP
Writ of Habeas Corpus	Civil Superior Court	CTIANLIC
Fish & Game - Abatement & Forfeiture of Equipment	Superior Court Misc Petition	CIWHC
Appointment of Trustee Counsel	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISAF
Other Superior Court Complaint	Civil Superior Court	CISTC
Other Superior Court Petition	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISOCI
st-Conviction Relief to Superior Court	Superior Court Misc Petition	CISPET
Post-Conviction Relief	Post-Conviction Relief-Sup Ct	CICDOD
peal to Superior Court - From Administrative Agency	1 ost conviction Relier-Sup Ct	CISPCR
Election Contest or Recount Appeal – SEE OTHER CIVIL		T
DMV Appeal	Appeal from Admin Agency	CIADDAN
Employment Security Appeal	Appeal from Admin Agency	CIADDMV
Administrative Agency Appeal - Other	Appeal from Admin Agency	CIADRESA
CSSD License Review Action	Petition for Review or Relief	CIADR
Petition for Review from Administrative Agency	Petition for Review or Relief	CICSED
Petition for Relief from Administrative Agency – AS 44.62.305	Petition for Review or Relief	CIPRA
pear to Superior Court - From District Court	reduction Review of Relief	CIPRLF
Civil or Small Claims Appeal	Appeal from District Court	CTACT
Criminal Annual		CIACI
		CIACRM
	Appeal from District Court	CIAMO

CIV-125S (3/16)(cs) CASE DESCRIPTION FORM – SUPERIOR COURT

Page 2 of 2